



EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA); Scientific Opinion on the substantiation of health claims related to sugar-free chewing gum with pyro- and triphosphates and reduction of calculus formation (ID 1309) pursuant to Article 13(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006

EFSA Publication

Link to article, DOI:
[10.2903/j.efsa.2011.2268](https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2011.2268)

Publication date:
2011

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
EFSA Publication (2011). *EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA); Scientific Opinion on the substantiation of health claims related to sugar-free chewing gum with pyro- and triphosphates and reduction of calculus formation (ID 1309) pursuant to Article 13(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006*. European Food Safety Authority. the EFSA Journal No. 2268 <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2011.2268>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

SCIENTIFIC OPINION

Scientific Opinion on the substantiation of health claims related to sugar-free chewing gum with pyro- and triphosphates and reduction of calculus formation (ID 1309) pursuant to Article 13(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006¹

EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA)^{2, 3}

European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), Parma, Italy

SUMMARY

Following a request from the European Commission, the Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies was asked to provide a scientific opinion on a list of health claims pursuant to Article 13 of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006. This opinion addresses the scientific substantiation of health claims in relation to free chewing gum with pyro- and triphosphates and reduction of calculus formation. The scientific substantiation is based on the information provided by the Member States in the consolidated list of Article 13 health claims and references that EFSA has received from Member States or directly from stakeholders.

The food that is the subject of the health claim is sugar-free chewing gum with pyro- and triphosphates. The Panel considers that sugar-free chewing gum with pyro- and triphosphates is sufficiently characterised in relation to the claimed effect.

The claimed effect is “contrasts calculus/tartar formation, gums health”. The target population is assumed to be the general population. The Panel considers that reduction of calculus formation at sites which are most important for dental health is a beneficial physiological effect.

No human studies have been provided from which conclusions could be drawn for the scientific substantiation of a claim on the use of sugar-free chewing gum with pyro- and triphosphates and the reduction of calculus formation at sites which are most important for dental health (e.g. gingival margin or between teeth).

¹ On request from the European Commission, Question No EFSA-Q-2008-2046, adopted on 08 April 2011.

² Panel members: Carlo Agostoni, Jean-Louis Bresson, Susan Fairweather-Tait, Albert Flynn, Ines Golly, Hannu Korhonen, Pagona Lagiou, Martinus Løvik, Rosangela Marchelli, Ambroise Martin, Bevan Moseley, Monika Neuhäuser-Berthold, Hildegard Przyrembel, Seppo Salminen, Yolanda Sanz, Sean (J.J.) Strain, Stephan Strobel, Inge Tetens, Daniel Tomé, Hendrik van Loveren and Hans Verhagen. Correspondence: nda@efsa.europa.eu

³ Acknowledgement: The Panel wishes to thank for the preparatory work on this scientific opinion: The members of the Working Group on Claims: Carlo Agostoni, Jean-Louis Bresson, Susan Fairweather-Tait, Albert Flynn, Ines Golly, Marina Heinonen, Hannu Korhonen, Martinus Løvik, Ambroise Martin, Hildegard Przyrembel, Seppo Salminen, Yolanda Sanz, Sean (J.J.) Strain, Inge Tetens, Hendrik van Loveren and Hans Verhagen. The members of the Claims Sub-Working Group on Bone/Teeth/Connective Tissue: Rikke Andersen, Olivier Bruyère, Albert Flynn, Ingegerd Johansson, Jukka Meurman and Hildegard Przyrembel.

On the basis of the data presented, the Panel concludes that a cause and effect relationship has not been established between the use of sugar-free chewing gum with pyro- and triphosphates and reduction of calculus formation at sites which are most important for dental health.

KEY WORDS

Sugar-free, chewing gum, pyrophosphates, triphosphates, calculus, health claims.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Summary	1
Table of contents	3
Background as provided by the European Commission	4
Terms of reference as provided by the European Commission	4
EFSA Disclaimer.....	4
Information as provided in the consolidated list	5
Assessment	5
1. Characterisation of the food/constituent (ID 1309).....	5
2. Relevance of the claimed effect to human health (ID 1309).....	5
3. Scientific substantiation of the claimed effect (ID 1309)	6
Conclusions	6
Documentation provided to EFSA	7
References	7
Appendices	8

BACKGROUND AS PROVIDED BY THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION

See Appendix A

TERMS OF REFERENCE AS PROVIDED BY THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION

See Appendix A

EFSA DISCLAIMER

See Appendix B

INFORMATION AS PROVIDED IN THE CONSOLIDATED LIST

The consolidated list of health claims pursuant to Article 13 of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006⁴ submitted by Member States contains main entry claims with corresponding conditions of use and literature for similar health claims. EFSA has screened all health claims contained in the original consolidated list of Article 13 health claims which was received by EFSA in 2008 using six criteria established by the NDA Panel to identify claims for which EFSA considered sufficient information had been provided for evaluation and those for which more information or clarification was needed before evaluation could be carried out⁵. The clarifications which were received by EFSA through the screening process have been included in the consolidated list. This additional information will serve as clarification to the originally provided information. The information provided in the consolidated list for the health claims which are the subject of this opinion is tabulated in Appendix C.

ASSESSMENT

1. Characterisation of the food/constituent (ID 1309)

The food that is the subject of the health claim is sugar-free chewing gum with pyro- and triphosphates.

The composition of the gum, i.e. gum base and sweetening agent, is unspecified. The characteristic components of chewing gums are the gum base, which may comprise a complex mixture of elastomers, natural and synthetic resins, fats, emulsifiers, waxes, antioxidants, and filler, together with sweetening and flavouring agents (Imfeld, 1999; Rassing, 1996). The common characteristic of sugar-free chewing gums is the absence of fermentable carbohydrates (Edgar, 1998; Ly et al., 2008). The ingredients are well characterised, can be measured by established methods, and the principles of the manufacturing process have been described (Rassing, 1996). Many of the ingredients in the gum base and most sweetening agents used in sugar-free chewing gums occur naturally in foods.

Various forms of pyrophosphates (E 450) and triphosphates (E 451) are authorised for addition to foods, including chewing gum in an amount *quantum satis*⁶, and can be measured in foods by standard methods.

The Panel considers that the food, sugar-free chewing gum with pyro- and triphosphates, which is the subject of the health claim, is sufficiently characterised in relation to the claimed effect.

2. Relevance of the claimed effect to human health (ID 1309)

The claimed effect is “contrasts calculus/tartar formation, gums health”. The Panel assumes that the target population is the general population.

The Panel assumes that the claimed effect is related to the reduction of calculus formation.

Dental plaque is found on the tooth surface and consists of a biofilm of microorganisms embedded in a matrix of polymers of host and bacterial origin. Plaque can also become mineralised and form calculus (tartar), a form of hardened dental plaque which provides a medium for further plaque

⁴ Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 December 2006 on nutrition and health claims made on foods. OJ L 404, 30.12.2006, p. 9–25.

⁵ EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA), 2011. General guidance for stakeholders on the evaluation of Article 13.1, 13.5 and 14 health claims. EFSA Journal, 9(4):2135, 24 pp.

⁶ European Parliament and Council Directive 95/2/EC of 20 February 1995, on food additives other than colours and sweeteners. OJ L 61, 18.3.1995, p. 1–40.

formation. Fermentation of carbohydrates in the mouth by oral bacteria increases the formation of a type of dental plaque that may contribute to dental caries as well as increase the proportion of cariogenic bacteria in the plaque (Marsh and Nyvad, 2008). Dental plaque and calculus can contribute to adverse effects on dental health (e.g. in relation to approximal caries, gingivitis, and periodontitis) when it occurs at sites such as at the cervical third and interdentally below the approximal contact point between teeth, along the gingival margin, and in the fissures and pits of the teeth.

The Panel considers that reduction of calculus formation at sites which are most important for dental health is a beneficial physiological effect.

3. Scientific substantiation of the claimed effect (ID 1309)

Among the references provided were some general reviews on the reduction of calculus formation by different agents which did not contain any primary data which could be used for the scientific substantiation of the claim. Some human intervention studies examined the effect of toothpastes containing pyrophosphates on calculus formation. The Panel considers that no conclusions can be drawn from these references for the scientific substantiation of the claim.

Two human intervention studies with a randomised cross-over design and which addressed the effect of xylitol-sweetened sugar-free chewing gum with pyro- and triphosphates on supragingival calculus deposits compared to xylitol-sweetened chewing gum without pyro- or triphosphates (Porciani and Grandini, 2003) or to no treatment (Porciani et al., 2003) have been provided. Calculus deposits were scored using a modified Volpe-Manhold Calculus Index with a periodontal probe graduated in millimetres. However, the sites where changes in calculus deposits were measured were not reported. The Panel considers that no conclusions can be drawn from these studies for the scientific substantiation of a claim on the reduction of calculus formation at sites which are most important for dental health (e.g. gingival margin or between teeth).

The Panel notes that no human studies have been provided from which conclusions can be drawn for the scientific substantiation of a claim on the use of sugar-free chewing gum with pyro- and triphosphates and the reduction of calculus formation in sites which are most important for dental health (e.g. gingival margin or between teeth).

The Panel concludes that a cause and effect relationship has not been established between the use of sugar-free chewing gum with pyro- and triphosphates and reduction of calculus formation at sites which are most important for dental health.

CONCLUSIONS

On the basis of the data presented, the Panel concludes that:

- The food, sugar-free chewing gum with pyro- and triphosphates, which is the subject of the health claim, is sufficiently characterised in relation to the claimed effect.
- The claimed effect is “contrasts calculus/tartar formation, gums health”. The target population is assumed to be the general population. Reduction of calculus formation at sites which are most important for dental health is a beneficial physiological effect.
- A cause and effect relationship has not been established between the use of sugar-free chewing gum with pyro- and triphosphates and reduction of calculus formation at sites which are most important for dental health.

DOCUMENTATION PROVIDED TO EFSA

Health claims pursuant to Article 13 of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006 (No: EFSA-Q-2008-2046). The scientific substantiation is based on the information provided by the Member States in the consolidated list of Article 13 health claims and references that EFSA has received from Member States or directly from stakeholders.

The full list of supporting references as provided to EFSA is available on: <http://www.efsa.europa.eu/panels/nda/claims/article13.htm>.

REFERENCES

- Edgar WM, 1998. Sugar substitutes, chewing gum and dental caries--a review. *British Dental Journal*, 184, 29-32.
- Imfeld T, 1999. Chewing gum--facts and fiction: a review of gum-chewing and oral health. *Critical Reviews in Oral Biology and Medicine*, 10, 405-419.
- Ly KA, Milgrom P and Rothen M, 2008. The potential of dental-protective chewing gum in oral health interventions. *Journal of the American Dental Association*, 139, 553-563.
- Marsh PD and Nyvad B, 2008. The oral microflora and biofilms on teeth. In: *Dental caries. The disease and its clinical management*. Eds Fejerskov O, Kidd E. Blackwell Munksgaard, Oxford.
- Porciani PF and Grandini S, 2003. A six-week study to evaluate the anti-calculus efficacy of a chewing gum containing pyrophosphate and tripolyphosphate. *Journal of Clinical Dentistry*, 14, 11-13.
- Porciani PF, Grandini S and Sapio S, 2003. Anticalculus efficacy of a chewing gum with polyphosphates in a twelve-week single-blind trial. *Journal of Clinical Dentistry*, 14, 45-47.
- Rassing MR, 1996. Specialized Oral Mucosal Drug Delivery Systems: Chewing Gum. In: *Oral Mucosal Drug Delivery*. Ed Rathbone M. Marcel Dekker, New York, 319-353.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

BACKGROUND AND TERMS OF REFERENCE AS PROVIDED BY THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION

The Regulation 1924/2006 on nutrition and health claims made on foods⁷ (hereinafter "the Regulation") entered into force on 19th January 2007.

Article 13 of the Regulation foresees that the Commission shall adopt a Community list of permitted health claims other than those referring to the reduction of disease risk and to children's development and health. This Community list shall be adopted through the Regulatory Committee procedure and following consultation of the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA).

Health claims are defined as "any claim that states, suggests or implies that a relationship exists between a food category, a food or one of its constituents and health".

In accordance with Article 13 (1) health claims other than those referring to the reduction of disease risk and to children's development and health are health claims describing or referring to:

- a) the role of a nutrient or other substance in growth, development and the functions of the body; or
- b) psychological and behavioural functions; or
- c) without prejudice to Directive 96/8/EC, slimming or weight-control or a reduction in the sense of hunger or an increase in the sense of satiety or to the reduction of the available energy from the diet.

To be included in the Community list of permitted health claims, the claims shall be:

- (i) based on generally accepted scientific evidence; and
- (ii) well understood by the average consumer.

Member States provided the Commission with lists of claims as referred to in Article 13 (1) by 31 January 2008 accompanied by the conditions applying to them and by references to the relevant scientific justification. These lists have been consolidated into the list which forms the basis for the EFSA consultation in accordance with Article 13 (3).

ISSUES THAT NEED TO BE CONSIDERED

IMPORTANCE AND PERTINENCE OF THE FOOD⁸

Foods are commonly involved in many different functions⁹ of the body, and for one single food many health claims may therefore be scientifically true. Therefore, the relative importance of food e.g. nutrients in relation to other nutrients for the expressed beneficial effect should be considered: for functions affected by a large number of dietary factors it should be considered whether a reference to a single food is scientifically pertinent.

⁷ OJ L12, 18/01/2007

⁸ The term 'food' when used in this Terms of Reference refers to a food constituent, the food or the food category.

⁹ The term 'function' when used in this Terms of Reference refers to health claims in Article 13(1)(a), (b) and (c).

It should also be considered if the information on the characteristics of the food contains aspects pertinent to the beneficial effect.

SUBSTANTIATION OF CLAIMS BY GENERALLY ACCEPTABLE SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE

Scientific substantiation is the main aspect to be taken into account to authorise health claims. Claims should be scientifically substantiated by taking into account the totality of the available scientific data, and by weighing the evidence, and shall demonstrate the extent to which:

- (a) the claimed effect of the food is beneficial for human health,
- (b) a cause and effect relationship is established between consumption of the food and the claimed effect in humans (such as: the strength, consistency, specificity, dose-response, and biological plausibility of the relationship),
- (c) the quantity of the food and pattern of consumption required to obtain the claimed effect could reasonably be achieved as part of a balanced diet,
- (d) the specific study group(s) in which the evidence was obtained is representative of the target population for which the claim is intended.

EFSA has mentioned in its scientific and technical guidance for the preparation and presentation of the application for authorisation of health claims consistent criteria for the potential sources of scientific data. Such sources may not be available for all health claims. Nevertheless it will be relevant and important that EFSA comments on the availability and quality of such data in order to allow the regulator to judge and make a risk management decision about the acceptability of health claims included in the submitted list.

The scientific evidence about the role of a food on a nutritional or physiological function is not enough to justify the claim. The beneficial effect of the dietary intake has also to be demonstrated. Moreover, the beneficial effect should be significant i.e. satisfactorily demonstrate to beneficially affect identified functions in the body in a way which is relevant to health. Although an appreciation of the beneficial effect in relation to the nutritional status of the European population may be of interest, the presence or absence of the actual need for a nutrient or other substance with nutritional or physiological effect for that population should not, however, condition such considerations.

Different types of effects can be claimed. Claims referring to the maintenance of a function may be distinct from claims referring to the improvement of a function. EFSA may wish to comment whether such different claims comply with the criteria laid down in the Regulation.

WORDING OF HEALTH CLAIMS

Scientific substantiation of health claims is the main aspect on which EFSA's opinion is requested. However, the wording of health claims should also be commented by EFSA in its opinion.

There is potentially a plethora of expressions that may be used to convey the relationship between the food and the function. This may be due to commercial practices, consumer perception and linguistic or cultural differences across the EU. Nevertheless, the wording used to make health claims should be truthful, clear, reliable and useful to the consumer in choosing a healthy diet.

In addition to fulfilling the general principles and conditions of the Regulation laid down in Article 3 and 5, Article 13(1)(a) stipulates that health claims shall describe or refer to "the role of a nutrient or other substance in growth, development and the functions of the body". Therefore, the requirement to

describe or refer to the 'role' of a nutrient or substance in growth, development and the functions of the body should be carefully considered.

The specificity of the wording is very important. Health claims such as "Substance X supports the function of the joints" may not sufficiently do so, whereas a claim such as "Substance X helps maintain the flexibility of the joints" would. In the first example of a claim it is unclear which of the various functions of the joints is described or referred to contrary to the latter example which specifies this by using the word "flexibility".

The clarity of the wording is very important. The guiding principle should be that the description or reference to the role of the nutrient or other substance shall be clear and unambiguous and therefore be specified to the extent possible i.e. descriptive words/ terms which can have multiple meanings should be avoided. To this end, wordings like "strengthens your natural defences" or "contain antioxidants" should be considered as well as "may" or "might" as opposed to words like "contributes", "aids" or "helps".

In addition, for functions affected by a large number of dietary factors it should be considered whether wordings such as "indispensable", "necessary", "essential" and "important" reflects the strength of the scientific evidence.

Similar alternative wordings as mentioned above are used for claims relating to different relationships between the various foods and health. It is not the intention of the regulator to adopt a detailed and rigid list of claims where all possible wordings for the different claims are approved. Therefore, it is not required that EFSA comments on each individual wording for each claim unless the wording is strictly pertinent to a specific claim. It would be appreciated though that EFSA may consider and comment generally on such elements relating to wording to ensure the compliance with the criteria laid down in the Regulation.

In doing so the explanation provided for in recital 16 of the Regulation on the notion of the average consumer should be recalled. In addition, such assessment should take into account the particular perspective and/or knowledge in the target group of the claim, if such is indicated or implied.

TERMS OF REFERENCE

HEALTH CLAIMS OTHER THAN THOSE REFERRING TO THE REDUCTION OF DISEASE RISK AND TO CHILDREN'S DEVELOPMENT AND HEALTH

EFSA should in particular consider, and provide advice on the following aspects:

- Whether adequate information is provided on the characteristics of the food pertinent to the beneficial effect.
- Whether the beneficial effect of the food on the function is substantiated by generally accepted scientific evidence by taking into account the totality of the available scientific data, and by weighing the evidence. In this context EFSA is invited to comment on the nature and quality of the totality of the evidence provided according to consistent criteria.
- The specific importance of the food for the claimed effect. For functions affected by a large number of dietary factors whether a reference to a single food is scientifically pertinent.

In addition, EFSA should consider the claimed effect on the function, and provide advice on the extent to which:

- the claimed effect of the food in the identified function is beneficial.
- a cause and effect relationship has been established between consumption of the food and the claimed effect in humans and whether the magnitude of the effect is related to the quantity

consumed.

- where appropriate, the effect on the function is significant in relation to the quantity of the food proposed to be consumed and if this quantity could reasonably be consumed as part of a balanced diet.
- the specific study group(s) in which the evidence was obtained is representative of the target population for which the claim is intended.
- the wordings used to express the claimed effect reflect the scientific evidence and complies with the criteria laid down in the Regulation.

When considering these elements EFSA should also provide advice, when appropriate:

- on the appropriate application of Article 10 (2) (c) and (d) in the Regulation, which provides for additional labelling requirements addressed to persons who should avoid using the food; and/or warnings for products that are likely to present a health risk if consumed to excess.

APPENDIX B

EFSA DISCLAIMER

The present opinion does not constitute, and cannot be construed as, an authorisation to the marketing of the food/food constituent, a positive assessment of its safety, nor a decision on whether the food/food constituent is, or is not, classified as foodstuffs. It should be noted that such an assessment is not foreseen in the framework of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006.

It should also be highlighted that the scope, the proposed wordings of the claims and the conditions of use as proposed in the Consolidated List may be subject to changes, pending the outcome of the authorisation procedure foreseen in Article 13(3) of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006.

APPENDIX C

Table 1. Main entry health claims related to sugar-free chewing gum with pyro- and triphosphates, including conditions of use from similar claims, as proposed in the Consolidated List.

ID	Food or Food constituent	Health Relationship	Proposed wording
1309	<p>Sugar-free chewing gum containing Pirofosfati - Gomma da masticare senza zucchero con pirofosfati (E450i,ii,iii,iv,v) e tripolifosfati (E451)</p> <p><u>Clarification provided</u></p> <p>Sugar-free chewing gum containing Pyrophosphates (E450i,ii,iii,iv,v) and tripolyphosphates (E451)</p>	<p>Contrasta la formazione del tartaro. Salute delle gengive</p> <p><u>Clarification provided</u></p> <p>Contrasts calculus/tartar formation. Gums health.</p>	<p>Aiuta a contrastare la formazione del tartaro difendendo il benessere delle gengive. Ostacola la formazione del tartaro. Protezione denti e gengive.</p> <p><u>Clarification provided</u></p> <p>Helps to contrast formation of calculus/tartar defending the wellness of gums- Opposes calculus/tartar formation- Protection for teeth and gums</p>
	<p>Conditions of use</p> <p>- 0,1 g/die</p>		